FA

2024 SEP | | PM 12: 00

Anna Spigiel ul. Ksiedza Zbigniewa Sassa 3/5 Tarnowo Podgórne 62-080 28.08.2024

United States Bankruptcy Court District of Delaware 824 Market Street, 3rd Floor Wilmington, Delaware 19801

Re: Objection to Amended Chapter 11 Plan of Terraform Labs Pte. Ltd. et al. Case No. 24-10070 (BLS)

Dear Judge,

I am hereby filing a formal objection to the proposed Amended Chapter 11 Plan of Terraform Labs Pte. Ltd. et al., as submitted in the bankruptcy proceedings.

As a creditor classified in **Class 5 – Crypto Loss Claims**, I wish to express my dissatisfaction and objection to the terms of the plan, which I believe are unfair to creditors in my class. My objection is based on the following key points:

1. Unequal distribution of funds:

The bankruptcy plan allocates a significantly higher recovery percentage for creditors in Class 4 – General Unsecured Claims (from 51.5% to 100%), whereas creditors in Class 5 are offered a recovery range from 0.1% to 100%, which is disproportionately lower. Although all creditors have suffered losses due to Terraform Labs' actions, cryptocurrency investors are being treated as lower-priority creditors. I believe that this distribution is not reflective of fairness and equity.

2. Risk of Class 4 absorbing the majority of available funds:

I am concerned that under the current plan, creditors in Class 4 may potentially absorb the majority of available assets, leaving limited funds for Class 5. Cryptocurrency investors like myself, who have suffered significant financial losses, deserve a fair and equitable share of the asset distribution, especially given the high level of risk we undertook.

3. Lack of guarantees for Class 5:

While Class 4 creditors are guaranteed a minimum recovery of 51.5%, there are no such guarantees for Class 5. I believe that the plan should provide greater certainty for all creditor classes to avoid a situation where cryptocurrency investors receive a minimal portion of the distribution.

4. Unfair treatment of cryptocurrency-related claims:

Although claims in Class 5, linked to cryptocurrency investments, may be considered more speculative, they should not be treated as secondary. Creditors in this class also deserve fair consideration of their claims, and the current plan unfairly favors trade creditors at the expense of cryptocurrency investors.

Anna Spigill

In light of the above, I respectfully request that the Court reconsider the terms of the plan, particularly with regard to the allocation of funds between Class 4 and Class 5. I believe the current plan does not meet the criteria of fairness and equity for all creditors and should be modified to ensure a more equitable distribution of assets.

Thank you for considering my objection.

Sincerely,

Anna Spigiel Anna pigiel

ANNA SAGRETUOO70-BLS Doc 676 Filed 09/11/24 Page 3 of 3 L. KSIEDZA 2 BIGNIEWA SASSA 3/5

TARNOWO PODGORNE





Poczta Polska

PRIORYTET PRIORITAIRE

UNITED STATES BANKRUF DISTRICT OF DELAWAR 824 MARKET STREET, 3RD WILMINGTON, DELAWAR

1**38**01\$3024 C012

դուդինդիինիդիկիիիիիինինինինի